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Addendum to decision on Prolongation for PhD students with positions of trust in central and national bodies

To REB 2006-05-15

Speaker: Lotta Hansson

## Background:

According to the Vice-Chancellor's decision 2005-03-21 applies

* that representatives of PhD students who held positions of trust as described below should have their employment extended corresponding to the time that the trust assignment is considered to take,
* that the table below should serve as a guide when calculating the time for prolongation at the department where the representative is employed,¨
* that the extension (prolongation) is implemented by the department extending the representative's appointment by the number of days according to the table below. In cases where the representative is not employed at SLU, a short-term employment corresponding to the days covered by the extension is made.
* that the department sends an invoice to the Vice-Chancellor corresponding to the cost of the extension. Appointment decisions, cost calculations and a list of the meetings in which the representative participated, verified by the respective council's secretary, must be attached to the invoice.
* that the cost of the extension is charged to the Vice-Chancellor's funds.

**Table 1. The following estimate of the annual time commitment for various assignments has been made:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Position of trust (Examples)**  | **Number of days**  |
| SLU Board | 15 |
| Nova | 10 |
| Fur | 6 |
| ALC and Alumni | 5 |
| SLUSS | 5 |
| SLUSS doktorandnämnd | 5 |
| Chair Sveriges doktorander (Sdok) | 30 |
| Member Sveriges doktorander (Sdok) | 10 |
| Vice Chair i Sveriges doktorander (Sdok) | 15 |
| FoMaR | 5 |
| FOR | 5 |

Councils and committees at SLU where the doctoral students are represented change over time. Some are shut down and others arise. Consequently, it is not possible to predict all possible assignments that will qualify for extension. In order not to have to make a new Vice-Chancellor's decision on extensions every time a change occurs, an addition to previous decisions is proposed.

Decision:

- the table in the current decision must serve as a guideline regarding the type of assignment and assignment scope, when assessing whether new assignments should be eligible for extension. The application for prolongation for tasks that are not on the list should follow the instructions contained in this decision and sent to the secretary of the Council for Doctoral Education (Fur) who, in consultation with the administrator appointed by the vice-chancellor and the Doctoral Board, assesses whether the assignment qualifies for extension.