

What is the most interesting thing you have heard today?

Bottom-up research

Maintain Department organisation with minor changes within

We are conservative for a reason

That many agree on that there are needs for financial support and stability for subject areas. Also a need for giving the same prerequisites of findings between faculties.

Diversity of thoughts

We have one extremely small department in the faculty. This would be a subject group in many other universities.

Subject support - how do we think strategically on different levels?

We need to get to know each other

Stability

What is the most interesting thing you have heard today?

Role of the subject area leader

Continuity and change at the same time

Suggestions on a clearer definition of subject areas and questioning on strategic level of funding decisions

That so many of us think that there is no real reason for change

Previous workshops discussed change in a positive way but today was focused a lot on the status quo and not on change but on stability

Make it easier to work at different departments

That there is common acknowledgement that research topics need to be filled from the bottom up, but that the faculty and departments and subject groups can use strategic funding for steering purposes.

Do SLU need to have faculties?

Collaboration is easy if you know what everybody does

What is the most interesting thing you have heard today?

The suggestion that LTV uses more of the state funding to support long-term strategic investments, via the subject areas

We are quite good over all

Money and content, how are they related? Is what is profitable always the same as the most important content, also given the global challenges.

Perhaps it is something else (than subject areas) that needs to be changed in order to achieve what you are looking for (quality, better funding etc)

Unequal prerequisites and conditions for different subject areas

Why are there so many differences in eg financing between faculties