Interdisciplinarity in practice -

success factors and problems

Erland Mårald

Umeå University

Solving messy problems

- Problems about forests, agriculture, environment climate etc. are complex:
- Involves nature, society, culture, facts and values
- Many different perspectives
- Different kinds of knowledges scientific, local, indigenous, practical ...
- Bridging academia-society
- Bridging past-present-future

Future Forests: 2010-2017



Different scientific traditions

Natural science (ecology)

Applied technoscience (forestry)

Social science and humanities

Applied technoscience - forestry

- Give me a problem and I will solve it!
- Know-how and management rason d'etre, without do something active in the forest these sciences become meaningless
- Find solutions and technological fixes
- Optimize systems
- Close contact with industrial partners and forest owners
- Goal and future-oriented
- Sustainable growth and economic growth





Natural science – ecology

- Academic researchers find the truth "out there"
- Ecological values/biodiversity

 nature for its own sake
- Preserve and protect
- Ecological boundaries planetary boundaries
- Tradition of activism/"crisis disciplines" – "saviours" of the world
- Networks with public authorities and ENGO:s
- Diversity instead of optimization
- Ecological sustainability and resilience

Social science and humanities

- Science is never "pure"
- Knowledge and power are connected
- Investigates discourses, understandings, frames and narratives
- Scientific discoveries and technological innovations is not always progressive
- The implementation of technology take always place somewhere and are therefore always political
- Learning from history and open alternative futures
- Academic and critical perspective question everything
- Social, cultural and democratic sustainable

Different epistemologies and goals

- Different frameworks for understanding
- What is the goal find solutions, preserve nature or uncover power?
- Find facts in nature, optimize systems or equality?
- Different traditions of collaboration

 partnership, activism or standing aside (to not be compromised by influential actors)



Problems

- Different wills
- Closings
- Stereotypes and misunderstandings
- Distrustfulness
- External partners ...
- Hurt feelings and conflicts



Sucesses

- Many interdisciplinary collaborations and articles
- Tried to find bridging concepts and combine different perspectives and knowledges
- Dialogue with networks of different social interests and politicians
- Opened new questions for discussion ("clear cut free" forestry, social values, climate, international comparisons ...)
- Challenged old truth (the Swedish forestry model, private property, forestry education, not only "out there" ...)
- The humanities were involved and gained importance
- Reflexivity

Lernings

- Interdisciplinarity takes time
- Ask when interdisciplinarity is beneficial or not
- Come out of your comfort zone and learn to listen to other perspectives
- Find common ground for cooperation but not consensus!
- Find bridging concepts
- Facts is important but don't avoid discussions of values and differences of opinion
- How to change the future is not an objective issue
- Interesting and fun!

